



THE COCHRANE LIBRARY

Independent high-quality evidence for health care decision making

The Cochrane Library. The single most reliable source of evidence in healthcare
The Cochrane Reviews highlighted below are available from the
Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (www.thecochranelibrary.com)
Cochrane Reviews are regularly updated as new evidence emerges and in response to feedback,
and the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews should always be consulted for the most recent version of the review.

COCHRANE CONTRIBUTES TO COLLABORATIVE COVID-19 LIVING EVIDENCE PROJECT

OCTOBER 1, 2020 - Project provides one location for decision makers to access information on COVID trials and synthesized results – now including vaccine data

An international team of researchers from the Cochrane collaboration has compiled living evidence related to COVID-19 for use by clinicians, policymakers, researchers, funders, guideline developers. Led by Cochrane France in collaboration with Cochrane Germany, Cochrane Ireland, Cochrane Chile, Cochrane South Africa, the Cochrane Bias Methods Group and others, the COVID-NMA project team brings together the latest evidence related to COVID-19, presenting data on trials registered all over the world, as well as living evidence synthesis of results from these trials. **The project provides one location for decision makers to access information on COVID trials and synthesized results that is frequently updated by researchers with expertise in evidence synthesis.** The project includes two main parts: living mapping of ongoing research followed by living synthesis of study results as soon as they are available.

Living mapping of ongoing research

Every week the research team searches and extracts data from the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP) to identify all RCTs evaluating the effectiveness of interventions for preventing and treating COVID-19 (including rehabilitation), as well as trials assessing vaccines.

This feeds into two interactive living data visualizations on treatments and vaccines, developed in collaboration with research teams from the CNRS, that are updated weekly. These provide easy ways to see the status of COVID-19 studies based, for example, on country in which they are taking place, study design, registration date, type of treatment or vaccine being studied.

This is useful for researchers planning clinical trials, to easily see where there is a gap in evidence, for research funders deciding where to dedicate resources for future clinical trials, or for researchers planning systematic reviews and meta-analyses to know which trials are ongoing and when to expect results for their analyses.

Living synthesis of study results

The living systematic reviews of COVID-19 study results completed by the project focuses on three areas: treatments, preventive interventions and vaccines. For each, as results are available, the team synthesizes these results, providing a list of treatment comparisons, general characteristics of each trial including a risk of bias assessment, forest plots with results from pairwise meta-analyses, GRADE evidence profile and

summary of findings tables for all the main outcomes interest to patients and decision makers. All this data is fully available in open access on the project platform.

Where appropriate, the team will undertake network meta-analysis to synthesize the available study results and compare simultaneously all possible interventions that could be used in the same clinical scenario.

The aim is to enable decision makers to access the best current evidence on comparative effects of the interventions and vaccines studied in the trials.

Isabelle Boutron and Philippe Ravaud, project leaders, say of the project: “We are proud of the interdisciplinary and international nature of this collaboration, which we believe provides an important source of high-quality synthesis of all COVID-19 evidence underway.”

Karla Soares-Weiser, Editor in Chief of the Cochrane Library, says, “We are impressed by the work that this project has produced so far. As the COVID-19 pandemic continues and global research on this disease increases daily, there is an ever-growing need for living evidence synthesis. We are pleased to be collaborating with this team, working together to continue responding to this crisis and planning our next steps for living evidence synthesis.”

Full Citation: “Living mapping and living systematic review of Covid-19 studies.” Isabelle Boutron, Philippe Ravaud, Anna Chaimani, David Tovey, Declan Devane, Joerg Meerpohl, Asbjørn Hróbjartsson, Gabriel Rada, Giacomo Grasselli. Published Online: October 01, 2020. (DOI: [10.1002/14651858.CD013739](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD013739))

Copyright © 2020 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., reproduced with permission.

THORACIC IMAGING TESTS FOR THE DIAGNOSIS OF COVID-19

SEPTEMBER 14, 2020 - How accurate is chest imaging for diagnosing COVID-19?

Why is this question important?

People with suspected COVID-19 need to know quickly whether they are infected, so that they can self-isolate, receive treatment, and inform close contacts. Currently, formal diagnosis of COVID-19 infection requires laboratory analysis of blood or nose and throat samples. The laboratory test, called RT-PCR, requires specialist equipment and takes at least 24 hours to produce a result. Further, RT-PCR is not completely accurate and a second RT-PCR or a different test may be required to confirm the diagnosis.

COVID-19 is a respiratory infection: people with COVID-19 may have a cough, may have difficulty breathing and in severe cases may have COVID-19 pneumonia. Clinicians use chest imaging tests to diagnose COVID-19 disease, when awaiting RT-PCR test results, for example, or when RT-PCR results are negative, and the person has COVID-19 symptoms.

The authors wanted to find out how accurate chest imaging is in diagnosing COVID-19 disease in people with known or suspected infection.