Ouestions regarding the role of protein phosphorylation in HIV replication and anti-acquired immune deficiency syndrome therapy

Haitham T. Idriss, PhD, DIC.

R eplication of the human immunodeficiency
virus (HIV) post entry to T-lymphocytes **(Figure 1**) is dependent on the function of many viral proteins and to a great extent on the activity of the enzyme HIV reverse transcriptase (HIV-RT), a major target for anti-acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS) therapy.¹ The enzyme has a triple action, acting as a reverse transcriptase, ribonuclease and DNA polymerase.² Three important questions arise in the HIV infection paradigm: 1) Is the activity of viral proteins, particularly that of HIV-1 RT, regulated by protein phosphorylation? 2) Why does the cell allow the virus to replicate once inside and not undergo apoptosis immediately once it detects abnormality, such as virus entry? 3) Why does resistance to anti-AIDS therapy develop and is this related to the activity of protein kinases?

The answer to the first question is yes. Many HIV viral proteins are subject to phosphorylation *in-vitro* and *in-vivo*. For instance, early studies showed that the HIV-1 matrix protein Gag is phosphorylated with protein kinase C (PKC) and other kinases, but no definite function has so far been ascribed to this modification 34 Phosphorylation of the HIV-1 Phosphorylation of the HIV-1 capsid protein CAp24, by one or both kinases within the virion, on serines 109, 149 or 178, or both, seems essential for the viral uncoating process, indirectly affecting the process of reverse transcription.⁵ Phosphorylation of Vpr at serine 79 regulates its activity in the nuclear import of the HIV-1 preintegration complex.⁶ Casein kinase II (CKII) is known to phosphorylate HIV-1 Rev on

serines 5 and 8 and this seems necessary for transactivation,⁷ whilst phosphorylation of HIV vif seems important in viral activation and replication.⁸ Human immunodeficiency virus-1 protease is also phosphorylated by CKII.9 Phosphorylation of HIV-1 Vpu, which is involved in the binding and degradation of the viral receptor CD4, on serines 52 and 56, is required for the interaction of Vpu with the ubiquitin ligase, which triggers proteosome degradation of CD4, facilitating viral release from the infected cells.10-12 Phosphorylation of viral proteins therefore, seems an important step for the infectivity and replication of the virus. Phosphorylation is known to regulate the activity of many cellular DNA/RNA polymerases.^{13,14} polymerases.^{13,14} Recently, we showed that HIV-1 RT can be phosphorylated by a number of purified kinases *in-vitro*, most likely at a serine residue.15 We also showed that the enzyme can be utilized as a phospho-acceptor in metabolically labeled Sf9 eukaryotic cells.15 Others showed that *in-vitro* phosphorylation of HIV-1 RT with CKII augments its catalytic activity (we obtained similar results with PKC and AK, Idriss and Damuni (1992), unpublished observations), suggesting that phosphorylation may be a required step to accelerate the replication of the HIV-1.16 Whether the phosphorylation of HIV-1 RT, is in part responsible for switching the virus from latent to replicative mode, is still unclear. To date we have been unable to show that the enzyme is phosphorylated in T-lymphocytes (Jurkat cells). What kinases may be

From the Centre for Apoptotic Research, Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, *United States of America*.

Address correspondence and reprint request to: Dr. Haitham T. Idriss, Centre for Apoptotic Research, Thomas Jefferson University, 233 S. 10th St., BLSB 904, Philadelphia, PA 19107-5541, *United States of America*. Tel. +1 hidriss@mail.jci.tju.edu

involved in the regulation of HIV-1 RT and therefore, viral replication? Not taking into account the 2 kinases that are present within the HIV virion.⁵ which have not been tested for RT phosphorylation, PKC, CKII and an auto-activated kinase (AK, catalytic domain of PAK2) are likely candidates. The latter 3 have been shown to phosphorylate the enzyme in vitro¹⁵ and at least CKII phosphorylation enhances RT activity.¹⁶

The second question is related to apoptosis, which is thought to be a major mechanism through which $HIV-I$ causes $CD4+T$ cell death post replication. Yet one wonders why does an infected cell not undergo apoptosis during the very early stages of infection (such as once viruses gain entry into the cell and during latency), so that it limits the spread of the virus? Cells normally undergo apoptosis through activation of biochemical
nathways involving caspases.¹⁷ but other $pathways$ involving caspases.¹⁷ non-caspase dependent pathways may be at work.¹⁸ One consequence of viral infection during the early stages could be an elevation in the levels of inhibitory apoptotic proteins (IAPs) that inhibit apoptosis, allowing ample time for the virus to replicate, before it causes (apoptotic) cell death to it and other non-infected cells. Members of the IAP protein family are said to inhibit apoptosis through inhibition of protein caspases or caspase complexes.19 Enhanced expression/activity of certain IAPs apparently increases as a consequence of viral infection. Zhu et al²⁰ reported upregulation of Survivin, a member of the IAP family by HIV-1 Vpr. This potentially suggests involvement of IAPs in facilitating viral replication by prolonging cell viability. Human immunodeficiency virus-1 Nef stimulates anti-apoptotic signals in infected cells through phosphorylation of Bad, a pro-apoptotic member of the Bcl-2 family.²¹ It is interesting that perturbation of the mitochondrial membranes results in secretion of omi/Htr2A, an IAP binding protein, which promotes apoptosis.²² Perturbation of the mitochondrial membranes of infected lymphocytes is known to occur subsequent to highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) treatment in AIDS patients.23,24

Another consequence of viral infection in the elevation of the levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as nitric oxide (NO) within the cell and if HIV-1 infection suppresses apoptosis through IAPs, why does a cell escape NO-mediated damage, for example? One consequence of elevation in the levels of NO is the incorporation of the byproduct nitrotyrosine (NOY), a product of the biochemical reaction between NO with cellular tyrosine²⁵ onto -tubulin ultimately leading to cell death²⁶ and inhibition of myogenic differentiation.27 This may define a potentially novel mechanism for elimination of abnormal cells (such as infected or cancerous cells) through the disruption of the

microtubule network.28 The modifying enzyme tubulin tyrosine ligase (TTL) is capable of
irreversibly incorporating NOY. I recently irreversibly incorporating NOY. proposed this may act as a final apoptotic step, which springs into action when all other apoptotic steps fail. Cells may escape this 'last check point' apoptotic step phosphorylation-induced inactivation of TTL, which was proposed to be phosphorylated at a serine residue close to its ATP binding site, preventing ATP binding and inactivating the enzyme.^{29,30} Cells
that escape nitrotyrosinated -tubulin-mediated that escape nitrotyrosinated LCP apoptosis are postulated to survive with a population of microtubules consisting of detyrosinated tubulin and facilitate viral replication. The tubulin tyrosination cycle therefore may be under the hierarchical control of reversible phosphorylation. Kinases activated by viral infection may perturb 'LCP' apoptosis³⁰ facilitating viral replication. Therefore, as far as the microtubule network is concerned, phosphorylation may prevent damage by ROS such as NO. In summary, a combination of synthesis of IAPs and inactivation of pro-apoptotic proteins through phosphorylation within cells seems to prolong cell viability post-infection with the HIV virus until such time the cell gets saturated with newly synthesized virions, after which an infected cell undergoes apoptosis.

Finally, why does anti-AIDS therapy fail to eradicate the disease and is phosphorylation involved in this phenomenon? Multidrug resistance (MDR) to chemotherapeutic drugs is a major obstacle for the treatment of AIDS patients. By enlarge; P-glycoprotein (P-gp) has been responsible for this phenomenon in cancer, but apparently to a lesser extent in AIDS patients. The drug azidothymidine (AZT) is known to be a substrate for P-glycoprotein,³¹ so are many of the protease inhibitors, although they seem to confer a down-regulatory role on the efflux function of the protein. For instance, studies in primate model of AIDS showed a significant decrease in the expression of P-gp subsequent to HAART.³² Other drug resistance mechanisms are therefore involved. One major mechanism is mutation of HIV-RT, which prevents drug binding and this has been extensively reviewed elsewhere.³³⁻³⁵ However, post-translational modifications of viral proteins may also be important in regulating MDR in HIV infected cells. Phosphorylation of HIV-1 RT may play a direct role in preventing drug binding. Recently, phosphorylation of AZT-resistant HIV RT with CKII has been shown to diminish binding of AZTTP to RT,³⁶ potentially defining a novel mechanism for drug resistance. I propose phosphorylation of HIV-1 RT may be important not only as regulator of the enzyme's catalytic functions, but also in defining a novel post-mutation resistance mechanism, which is activated when mutation of residues within the enzyme is no longer practical, as it may lead to complete inhibition of the catalytic functions of HIV-1 RT due to gross structural alterations. It would be interesting to identify the maximum number of viable mutations that the enzyme can tolerate to confer resistance to drugs such as AZT without compromising its catalytic activities. It will equally be important to know whether this maximum number of viable mutations leads to exposure of phosphorylation consensus sequences allowing protein kinases, such as CKII, to add phosphates at phosphate-acceptor sites, leading to a second tier of MDR.

In conclusion, the idea that posttranslational modifications of HIV-1 RT confer resistance to chemotherapeutic drugs (such as AZT) is a plausible one and evidence in the literature exists to support this hypothesis.16, 36 Understanding the full causes of MDR will lead to more effective anti-AIDS therapy in the future. Therefore, phosphorylation may play 3 crucial roles in AIDS (herein called Haifa hypothesis on AIDS) through activation of key viral proteins during infection and replication, through inhibition of TTL activity leading to inhibition of nitrotyrosinated tubulin-induced cell death and by preventing drug binding to HIV-1 RT through the addition of a phosphate at a site, which prevent drug binding to the enzyme (such as at the AZT binding site). Protein kinase C may be an important may be an important isotype in regulating RT activity since its activity is important during T-cell activation.

Acknowledgment. I thank my mother Hajjah Mrs.
Zahia Idriss for financial support during the course of this work and for Emad Alnemri (Thomas Jefferson University) for hosting me in his laboratory during the writing of the first draft of the article.

References

- 1. Baltimore D. RNA-dependent DNA polymerase in virions of RNA tumour viruses. *Nature* 1970; 226: 1209-1211.
- 2. Idriss H, Stammers DK. Inhibition of HIV-1 reverse
transcriptase by defined template/primer DNA transcriptase by defined template/primer oligonucleotides: effect of template length and binding characteristics. *J Enzym Inhib* 1994; 8: 97-112.
- 3. Burnette B, Yu G, Felsted RL. Phosphorylation of HIV-1 gag proteins by protein kinase C. *J Biol Chem* 1993; 268: 8698-8703.
- 4. Freed EO, Englund G, Maldarelli F, Martin MA. Phosphorylation of residue 131 of HIV-1 matrix is not required for macrophage infection. *Cell* 1997; 88: 171-173.
- 5. Cartier C, Sivard P, Tranchat C, Decimo D, Desgranges C, Boyer V. Identification of three major phosphorylation sites within HIV-1 capsid. Role of phosphorylation during the early steps of infection. *J Biol Chem* 1999; 274: 19434-19440.
- 6. Agostini I, Popov S, Hao T, Li JH, Dubrovsky L, Chaika O, et al. Phosphorylation of Vpr regulates HIV type 1 nuclear import and macrophage infection. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 2002; 18: 283-288.
- 7. Marin O, Sarno S, Boschetti M, Pagano MA, Meggio F, Ciminale V et al. Unique features of HIV-1 Rev protein phosphorylation by protein kinase CK2 'casein kinase-2';. *FEBS Lett* 2000 481: 63-67.
- 8. Yang X, Goncalves J, Gabuzda D. Phosphorylation of Vif and its role in HIV-1 replication. *J Biol Chem* 1996; 271: 10121-10129.
- 9. Haneda E, Furuya T, Asai S, Morikawa Y, Ohtsuki K. Biochemical characterization of casein kinase II as a protein kinase responsible for stimulation of HIV-1 protease in vitro. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2000; 275: 434-439.
- 10. Coadou G, Gharbi-Benarous J, Megy S, Bertho G, Evrard-Todeschi N, Segeral E, et al. NMR studies of the phosphorylation motif of the HIV-1 protein Vpu bound to the F-box protein beta-TrCP. *Biochemistry* 2003; 42: 14741-14751.
- 11. Henklein P, Kinder R, Schubert U, Bechinger B. Membrane interactions and alignment of structures within the HIV-1 Vpu cytoplasmic domain: effect of phosphorylation of serines 52 and 56. *FEBS Lett* 2000; 482: 220-224.
12. Paul M. Jabbar MA.
- Phosphorylation of both phospho-acceptor sites in the HIV-1 Vpu cytoplasmic domain is essential for Vpu-mediated ER degradation of CD4. *Virology* 1997; 232: 207-216.
- 13. Cripps-Wolfman J, Henshaw EC, Bambara RA. Alterations in the phosphorylation and activity of DNA polymerase alpha correlate with the change in replicative DNA synthesis as quiescent cells re-enter the cell cycle. *J Biol Chem* 1989; 264: 19478-19486.
- 14. Kranias EG, Schweppe JS, Jungmann RA. Phosphorylative and functional modifications of nucleoplasmic RNA polymerase II by homologous adenosine 3':5'-monophosphate-dependent protein kinase from calf thymus and by heterologous phosphatase. *J Biol Chem* 1977; 252: 6750-6758.
- 15. Idriss H, Kawa S, Damuni Z, Thompson EB, Wilson SH. HIV-1 reverse transcriptase is phosphorylated in vitro and in a cellular system. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 1999; 31: 1443-1452.
- 16. Harada S, Haneda E, Maekawa T, Morikawa Y, Funayama S, Nagata N, Ohtsuki K, Nagata N, Ohtsuki K. Casein kinase II CK-II;-mediated stimulation of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase activity and characterization of selective inhibitors in vitro. *Biol Pharm Bull* 1999; 22: 1122-1126.
- 17. Alnemri ES. Mammalian cell death proteases: a family of highly conserved aspartate specific cysteine proteases. *J Cell Biochem* 1997; 64: 33-42.
- 18. Nicotera P. Apoptosis and age-related disorders: role of caspase-dependent and caspase-independent pathways. *Toxicology Letts* 2002; 127: 189-195.
- 19. Salvesen GS, Duckett CS. IAP proteins: blocking the road to death's door. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2002; 3: 401-410.
- 20. Zhu Y, Roshal M, Li F, Blackett J, Planelles V. Upregulation of survivin by HIV-1 Vpr. *Apoptosis* 2003; 8: 71-79.
- 21. Wolf D, Witte V, Laffert B, Blume K, Stromer E, Trapp S et al. HIV-1 Nef associated PAK and PI3-kinases stimulate Akt-independent Bad-phosphorylation to induce antiapoptotic signals. *Nat Med* 2001; 7: 1217-1224.
- 22. Hegde R, Srinivasula SM, Zhang Z, Wassell R, Mukattash R, Cilenti L, et al. Identification of Omi/HtrA2 as a mitochondrial apoptotic serine protease that disrupts inhibitor of apoptosis protein-caspase interaction. *J Biol Chem* 2002; 277: 432-438.
- 23. Arnoult D, Petit F, Lelievre JD, Estaquier J. Mitochondria in HIV-1-induced apoptosis. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2003; 304: 561-574.
- 24. Tolomeo M, Mancuso S, Todaro M, Stassi G, Catalano M, Arista S et al .Mitochondrial disruption and apoptosis in lymphocytes of an HIV infected patient affected by lactic acidosis after treatment with highly active antiretroviral therapy. *J Clin Pathol* 2003; 56: 147-151.
- 25. Ischiropoulos H. Biological tyrosine nitration: a pathophysiological function of nitric oxide and reactive oxygen species. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 1998; 356: 1-11.
- 26. Eiserich, JP, Estevez AG, Bamberg TV, Ye YZ, Chumley PH, Beckman JS, et al. Microtubule dysfunction by posttranslational nitrotyrosination of alpha-tubulin: a nitric oxide-dependent mechanism of cellular injury. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1999; 96: 6365–6370.
- 27. Chang W, Webster DR, Salam AA, Gruber D, Prasad A, Eiserich JP, et al. Alteration of the C-terminal amino acid of tubulin specifically inhibits myogenic differentiation. *J Biol Chem* 2002; 277: 30690-30698.
- 28. Idriss HT. Defining a Physiological Role for Tubulin Tyrosination. *European Journal of General Medicine* 2004; 2: 1-2.
- 29. Idriss HT. Phosphorylation of Tubulin Tyrosine Ligase: A Potential Mechanism for Regulation of a-Tubulin Tyrosination. *Cell Motil Cytoskeleton* 2000; 46: 1-5.
- 30. Idriss HT. Three Steps to Cancer: how phosphorylation of tubulin, tubulin tyrosine ligase and P-glycoprotein may generate and sustain cancer. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2004; 54: 101-104.
- 31. Gupta S, Gollapudi S. P-glycoprotein MDR 1 gene product; in cells of the immune system: its possible physiologic role and alteration in aging and human immunodeficiency virus-1 HIV-1; infection. *J Clin Immunol* 1993; 13: 289-301.
- 32. Jorajuria S, Clayette P, Dereuddre-Bosquet N, Benlhassan-Chahour K, Thiebot H, Vaslin B, et al. The expression of P-glycoprotein and cellular kinases is modulated at the transcriptional level by infection and highly active antiretroviral therapy in a primate model of AIDS. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 2003; 19: 307-311.
- 33. Tantillo C, Ding J, Jacobo-Molina A, Nanni RG, Boyer PL, Hughes SH, et al. Locations of anti-AIDS drug binding sites and resistance mutations in the three-dimensional structure of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase. Implications for mechanisms of drug inhibition and resistance. *J Mol Biol* 1994; 243: 369-387.
- 34. Kuritzkes DR. Resistance to protease inhibitors. *J HIV Ther* 2002; 7: 87-91.
- 35. Wainberg MA. HIV resistance to nevirapine and other non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2003; 34 Suppl 1: S2-S7.
- 36. Lazaro JB, Boretto J, Selmi B, Capony JP, Canard B. Phosphorylation of AZT-resistant human immunodeficiency virus type 1 reverse transcriptase by casein kinase II in vitro: effects on inhibitor sensitivity. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2000; 275: 26-32.