

Uninvestigated dyspepsia and its related factors in an Iranian community

Mosayeb Barzkar, MD, Mohamad A. Pourhoseingholi, PhD, Manijeh Habibi, MSc, Bijan Moghimi-Dehkordi, MSc, Azadeh Safaee, MSc, Asma Pourhoseingholi, MBBS, Abdolrasool Khalafii, MSc, Mohammad R. Zali, MD, FACC.

ABSTRACT

الأهداف: لتحديد مدى الانتشار والسيطرة على التخمة/سوء الهضم في المجتمع الإيراني.

الطريقة: أجريت هذه الدراسة المقطعية خلال الفترة ما بين مايو 2006م وحتى ديسمبر 2007م، بجامعة شهيد بهشتي - محافظة طهران - إيران، وشملت 18180 شخص بالغ تم اختيارهم بشكل عشوائي. تم تعبئة استبيانات على مرحلتين، في المرحلة الأولى، تم تشخيص الأشخاص الذين كانوا مصابين على الأقل بواحد من أعراض الجهاز الهضمي، و في مرحلة الثانية، تم تعبئة الأوراق التي كانت فيها أسئلة عن هولاء الأشخاص التي تم تنظيمهم على معايير Rome III التخمة/سوء الهضم الفاعل.

النتائج: كانت نسبة وجود التخمة/سوء الهضم 8.5% (8.5% في النساء) و (6.4% في الرجال). أكثر علامة في المصابين التخمة/سوء الهضم كان الإحساس المزعج بالتخمة بعد تناول الطعام 41.5%، كان انتشار التخمة/سوء الهضم أكبر بين الطبقات ذات المستوى التعليم المنخفض والأرامل. حدة نسبة 41.4% من المصابين كان لديهم تاريخ إصابة بالإكتئاب، و 66.1% يعانون من الضغط النفسي. نسبة شيوع أمراض IBS و GORD في المصابين التخمة/سوء الهضم كان 8.3% و 64.9%.

خاتمة: بالمقارنة بالمجتمعات الغربية وجدنا أن انتشار التخمة/سوء الهضم في المجتمع الإيراني أقل. النساء، المسنين، أصحاب الضعف الجسماني، الأرامل وأصحاب المستويات العلمية المتدنية هم أكثر فئات المجتمع عرضة للإصابة بالتخمة/سوء الهضم.

Objectives: To determine the prevalence and determinants of uninvestigated dyspepsia in the Iranian population.

Methods: A cross-sectional study conducted in Tehran province from May 2006 to December 2007, included 18,180 adult persons selected randomly. The study took place at Shahid Beheshti University, MC, Tehran, Iran. A questionnaire was completed in 2 steps. In the first part, personal characteristics and 11 gastrointestinal symptoms were inserted. Those

who reported at least one of these 11 symptoms were referred for the second interview, which consisted of questions on different gastrointestinal disorders based on Rome III criteria, including uninvestigated dyspepsia.

Results: The prevalence rate of uninvestigated dyspepsia was 8.5% (10.9% in women and 6.4% in men). Among the subjects diagnosed with dyspepsia, bothersome postprandial fullness was the most common symptom (41.5%). Uninvestigated dyspepsia was more common in low educated and widowed participants. Approximately 41.4% of patients had a history of depression, and 66.1% had self report of stress. The prevalence of functional irritable bowel syndrome in patients with uninvestigated dyspepsia was 8.3% and gastroesophageal reflux disease was 64.9%.

Conclusion: Uninvestigated dyspepsia has a less common prevalence in the general Iranian population than developed countries. Women, older, obese, widowed, and low education subjects are more likely to suffer from dyspepsia.

Saudi Med J 2009; Vol. 30 (3): 397-402

From the Research Center for Gastroenterology and Liver Diseases, Shahid Beheshti University, MC, Tehran, Iran.

Received 28th October 2008. Accepted 21st February 2009.

Address correspondence and reprint request to: Dr. Bijan Moghimi-Dehkordi, Research Center for Gastroenterology and Liver Disease, Shahid Beheshti University, MC, Tehran, Iran. Tel. +98 (21) 22432515. Fax: +98 (21) 22432517. E-mail: b_moghimi_de@yahoo.com

Disclosure. This study was sponsored by the Research Centre for Gastrointestinal and Liver Disease (RCGLD), Taleghani Hospital, Tehran, Iran.

Dyspepsia is a remarkably common symptom in the general population.¹ The prevalence has varied between 7-34.2%.²⁻⁶ The definition of dyspepsia remains controversial.⁷ Guidelines from the United Kingdom⁸ and Canada⁹ use the term to mean all symptoms referable to the upper gastrointestinal tract, whereas the Rome II definition¹⁰ excludes patients with predominant reflux symptoms. Dyspepsia is estimated to account for 2-5% of primary care office visits and 30% of consultations by a gastroenterologist.¹¹⁻¹⁴ Dyspepsia has a significant impact on quality of life¹⁵ and results in enormous societal costs, both direct medical costs for physician visits, diagnostic tests, and medications, and indirect costs from absenteeism or diminished productivity at work.¹⁶ Functional dyspepsia is not the same as uninvestigated dyspepsia. Having made a diagnosis of functional dyspepsia, a number of investigations have been performed and found normal, especially those who underwent upper gastrointestinal endoscopy, upper abdominal ultrasonography, and routine hematology and biochemistry screening blood tests.¹⁷ Uninvestigated dyspepsia (UD) is a diagnosis in which new onset or recurrent dyspepsia occurs in persons in whom no diagnostic investigations have yet been performed and a specific diagnosis that explains the dyspeptic symptoms has not been determined.¹⁸ Patients were called "investigated" when they had upper gastrointestinal symptoms in primary care.¹⁹ Because clinical samples are not representative of the general community; dyspepsia should be studied by population in order to avoid selection bias.²⁰ The prevalence of UD was not determined, and it remains poorly defined in Iran. The risk factors of UD also remain poorly described in the general population. Defining these risk factors would help to risk-stratify individuals with uninvestigated dyspepsia. So that work up would be reserved for subjects who are at a higher risk. The aim of this study is to determine the prevalence and risk factors for UD in an Iranian population.

Methods. This study was a cross-sectional household survey conducted from May 2006 to December 2007 in Tehran province, Iran which was designed to investigate the prevalence of gastrointestinal symptoms and disorders and related factors.²¹⁻²⁴ A total of 18180 adult persons selected randomly on the basis of the list of postal codes and systematic samples of these postal codes and their related address were drawn from the databank registry of Tehran central post office (approximately 5000 households selected and all members surveyed). These random samples covered 5 cities including Tehran metropolitan, Damavand, Varamin, Firozkooh, Pakdasht and their rural areas (approximately 10% of samples came from rural areas and samples were selected

on the basis of health dossiers). The trained health personnel of their corresponding local health center were referred to each selected house. They were instructed to ask every household to participate in the first interview according to the first part of our questionnaire. Before the interview, the interviewer explained the purpose of these questions to all eligible individuals and requested their participation. The Ethics Committee of the Research Center approved the research protocol for Gastroenterology and Liver Diseases, Shaheed Beheshti Medical University, Tehran, Iran and all persons who participated in the study signed the consent form.

The questionnaire included 2 parts; the first part consisted of questions, in which personal and family characteristics such as age, gender, occupation, and educational level, were inserted. In addition to this, the interviewers were asked regarding 11 gastrointestinal symptoms including: abdominal pain or distress, constipation, diarrhea, bloating, heartburn, acid regurgitation, proctalgia, nausea and vomiting, fecal incontinence, existence of blood in the stool or black stool (melena), weight loss or anorexia, and difficulty in swallowing. Those who reported at least one of the 11 gastrointestinal symptoms were included in the second interview. The second part of the questionnaire consisted of questions on different gastrointestinal disorder on the basis of Rome III criteria.^{25,26} The section of Rome III criteria was standardized in Persian and translated from English to Persian. Uninvestigated dyspepsia was defined as a patient who had one or more of the following symptoms for the last 3 months with symptoms onset at least 6 months before diagnosis. These symptoms consist of: bothersome postprandial fullness, early satiation, epigastric pain, and epigastric burning. Some demographic and clinical variables including gender (male/female), age, marital status (single, married, widow), education (less than high school, high school, college), tobacco smoking (non-smokers, and current-smokers of cigarettes, cigars, and pipes), stress, depression, experiencing catastrophic events and body mass index (BMI), were included in the analysis. Patients with GI symptoms were measured the height and weight using the equation of $BMI = \text{weight (kg)}/\text{height square (m}^2\text{)}$. The BMI was calculated and grouped into 3 categories: "being underweight and normal weight" established when the BMI was less than 25 kg/m²; "being overweight" when BMI was between 25 and 29.9 kg/m²; and "being obese," when BMI was 30 kg/m². These definitions are consistent with the recommendations of the World Health Organization.²⁷ Stress and depression were defined according to self-report of patient. Catastrophic events were defined if patients reported at least experiencing one of these 4 events during the last year; losing a first degree family

member, car crash, bankrupt, and infected with severe disease according to patient's self-report. In addition, gastroesophageal reflux disease (GORD) was defined in this study as an individual who had heartburn and/or acid regurgitation at least once a week for the last 3 months. Heartburn was defined as a burning feeling that rises through the chest, and acid regurgitation was defined as liquid coming back into the mouth and leaving a bitter or sour taste. The questions used to diagnosed reflux were derived from a questionnaire designed by Locke et al²⁸ and validated in Persian.^{23,24}

All statistical analysis was carried out using SAS version 9.1. Analysis of variance was used to compare the means of continuous variables and Pearson's chi-square and contingency tables were performed to test for independence between discrete classification variables. A $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant and all reported P values were 2 sided.

Results. A total of 18180 participant entered to this cross-sectional study. The response rate was more than 92%, and those who refused to participate in the interview was replaced with additional random samples. The demographic features of participants are presented in Table 1. The median and mean age of participants were 36 and 38.7 years (SD: 17.1). Among these participants, 9072 (49.9%) were women. Most participants were in the age group 16-29 years in both male and female groups. A total of 2931 participants had at least one gastrointestinal symptom. All of these persons were referred to participate in the second interview to complete the second part of questionnaire. Among them 1547 adult persons had functional dyspepsia based on ROM III criteria. The prevalence rate of UD was 8.5% (8.1-8.9). The prevalence rates of functional dyspepsia by sociodemographic characteristics are presented in Table 2. The prevalence rate of UD was higher in females; in age group >70 years and in widowed participants, compared to single and married participants ($p < 0.001$). Also the prevalence rate of UD was higher in low educated participants ($p < 0.001$). No difference was observed between rural and urban. The clinical features of participants are shown in Table 3. Bothering postprandial fullness was the most common symptom, followed by epigastric pain, and early satiation. Up to 52% of patients were overweight or obese (the distribution of obesity in all 2931 participants who reported GI symptoms were 33% being overweight and 27% being obese). In addition, 32.3% of patients experience at least one or more catastrophic event. An overlap was observed between UD and GORD; 64.9% of patients with UD, diagnosed with GORD. Therefore, patients were categorized into 2 subgroups (UD patients with or without GORD).

Table 1 - Demographic data of sampled population (N=18,180).

Demographic data	Male (n=9108)	Female (n=9072)	P-value
Mean age (years)	38.95±17.4	38.40±16.7	<0.001
Age range (%)			
16-29 years	3060 (33.6)	3502 (38.6)	<0.001
30-39 years	1721 (18.9)	1724 (19.0)	
40-49 years	1494 (16.4)	1542 (17.0)	
50-59 years	1011 (11.1)	1052 (11.6)	
60-69 years	683 (7.5)	689 (7.6)	
70-79 years	510 (5.6)	408 (4.5)	
>80 years	173 (1.9)	118 (1.3)	
Education (%)			
Less than high school	1621 (17.8)	2292 (25.3)	<0.001
High school	5738 (63.0)	5234 (57.7)	
College	1748 (19.2)	1533 (16.9)	
Marital status (%)			
Single	3151 (34.6)	2458 (27.1)	<0.001
Married	5875 (64.5)	5878 (64.8)	
Widow	55 (0.6)	662 (7.3)	
Residence			
Rural	925 (10.2)	855 (9.4)	
Urban	8183 (89.9)	8217 (90.6)	0.1

Table 2 - Prevalence rates of uninvestigated dyspepsia per 100 persons with 95% confidence intervals (CI) by sociodemographic characteristics.

Parameters	No. of infected	Prevalence per 100 Person (95% CI)	P-value
Gender			
Male	559	6.1 (5.6-6.6)	<0.001
Female	988	10.9 (10.2-11.5)	
Age			
16-29	277	4.2 (3.7-4.7)	<0.001
30-39	309	8.9 (8.0-9.9)	
40-49	306	10.0 (9.0-11.1)	
50-59	286	13.9 (12.4-15.4)	
60-69	189	13.8 (11.9-15.6)	
>70	185	15.3 (13.3-17.3)	
Marital status			
Single	194	3.5 (3.0-3.9)	<0.001
Married	1206	10.3 (9.7-10.8)	
Widow	124	17.3 (14.5-20.1)	
Education			
College	210	12.0 (10.5-13.5)	<0.001
High school	831	14.5 (13.6-15.4)	
Less than high school	494	30.5 (28.2-32.7)	
Residence			
Rural	140	6.8 (5.6-8.0)	0.08
Urban	1407	7.9 (7.6-8.3)	

Table 3 - Distribution of clinical features in patients with uninvestigated dyspepsia.

Clinical features	N	Percent
		per 100 persons
<i>Symptoms</i>		
Bothersome postprandial fullness	1216	(41.5)
Early satiation	635	(21.7)
Epigastric pain	1172	(40.0)
<i>Functional irritable bowel syndrome</i>		
Yes	132	(8.3)
<i>Gastroesophageal reflux disease</i>		
Yes	1008	(64.9)
<i>Body mass index</i>		
<25	668	(47.8)
25-30	528	(37.8)
>30	201	(14.4)
<i>Smoking</i>		
Current smokers	144	(9.3)
<i>Self report of depression</i>		
Yes	647	(41.4)
No	916	(58.6)
<i>Self report of stress</i>		
Yes	1022	(66.1)
No	525	(33.9)
<i>Catastrophic events during the last year</i>		
Dying relatives	298	(18.4)
Accident	79	(4.9)
Bankrupt	108	(6.7)
Severe disease	126	(7.8)

Table 4 - Comparing dyspepsia patients with or without gastroesophageal reflux disease (GORD) according to clinical and demographic features.

Demographic features	Percent per 100 persons		P-value
	With GORD	Without GORD	
<i>Age*</i>			
>46	51.1	52.6	0.57
<i>Gender</i>			
Female	62.1	64.6	0.29
<i>Functional IBS</i>			
Yes	6.1	9.4	0.02
<i>Body mass index</i>			
<25	15.9	49.2	<0.001
25-30	38.9	37.2	
>30	45.1	13.6	
<i>Smoking</i>			
Current smokers	9.7	9.0	0.64

* Using median age of all UD patients, IBS - irritable bowel syndrome

Results indicated that UD patients with GORD were more obese than those without GORD, and irritable bowel movement was more frequent in UD patients with GORD at the same time (Table 4).

Discussion. This nationwide Iranian survey is the first epidemiological study to estimate the prevalence and potential risk factors of UD using the Rome III criteria. Therefore, the findings are more on the general population than those obtained from studies of patients seen at referral centers. The prevalence of dyspepsia varies in different populations. Although these may be due to epidemiological differences, it is also apparent that the varying definitions used in different studies leads to this discrepancy.²⁹ In studies using "upper abdominal pain" as the definition, the prevalence of UD has varied between 7-34.2%.²⁻⁶ With this definition, the lowest UD prevalence of 7-8% is seen in Singapore, and South East Asia.⁴ Prevalence rates of 23-25.8% are seen in the US,² 30.4% in India,⁵ 34.2% in New Zealand,⁶ and the highest was in Argentina 43.2%.²⁰ The results showed that the higher rate of UD was in women. Female gender was found to be an independent risk factor for UD in a study conducted in Taiwan³⁰ and Australia, and adult females significantly outnumbered adult males in functional dyspepsia.³¹ Age was another significant demographic factor that influenced the rate of UD. All previous surveys have examined adults ≥ 18 years old. Prevalence of UD appeared to peak in Chinese aged 41-50 years,³² although other studies reported that the prevalence of UD decreases with increasing in age.³⁰ We observed that UD was more common in low educated groups. Most population-based studies examined the basic sociodemographic associations in dyspepsia. A study by Curioso et al³³ in a native community of the Peruvian jungle revealed that poor and less educated persons had increased risk for UD.³³ In our study, the prevalence rate of UD was higher in widowed participants compared to single or married, and 37.8% of patients reported experiencing catastrophic events during the last year, and 42% reported depression and 66.1% of patients reported stress. Similar results were observed in an Australian survey where adults with UD scored highly on anxiety and depression scales.³¹ A Chinese study which revealed "pressure from society" and "destructive living habit" depression and anxiety as risk factors for UD³² and a survey carried out in Hong Kong showed that patients with UD had more anxiety.³⁴ In addition, a recent study in Belgium showed symptom severity and weight loss in UD was determined by psychosocial factors including depression.³⁵ A total of 9.3% of patients were current

smokers. The relation between smoking and dyspepsia is still skeptical, although in some population-based studies smoking has not been shown to be a risk factor.^{31,32} In another survey, regular smoking is considered as a risk factor for UD.^{5,36} Approximately half of UD patients in our study were overweight or obese. A recent community-based case-control study in Olmsted County in the US showed that BMI was associated with frequent vomiting, upper abdominal pain, bloating and diarrhea.³⁷ Nevertheless, the Netherland study did not show any significant association.³⁸ We observed considerable overlap between UD and gastroesophageal reflux. Haque et al,⁶ found that half of the participants with functional dyspepsia (and uninvestigated dyspepsia) have reflux disease;⁶ however, a study in Argentina reported only 13.6%.²⁰ Results showed that patients who were infected with both UD and GORD were more overweight or obese than patients with UD only; however, our previous study with the same data did not revealed any association between GORD and BMI.²⁴ Also, coexistence of irritable bowel syndrome and UD in the presence of GORD was higher, and this was confirmed with our previous study that aimed to evaluate the coexistence of GORD and IBS.²³

This current study has a number of strengths. We used explicit and clinically relevant criteria based on Rome III criteria. The major strengths of the study are it was population based, and we used a randomized recruitment strategy. The large sample size provided both statistical precision and permitted detailed examination of variation in prevalence by demographic features. Because patients were sampled from a general population in our study, the selection biases that might apply to a specialist or hospital-derived sample do not arise. The limitation of this study is that we did not collect detailed data on treatment status, or disease severity. Also, we did not study the socioeconomic status and diet in our population, and, psychological factors were based on the patient's self-reported stress and depression. In the future, data should be obtained on all cases with UD for the above issues.

Acknowledgment. *The assistance of all persons involved in obtaining the interview information, and the cooperation of the participants is much appreciated. We are also thankful to Dr. Mohsen Vahedi for his brilliant help in the revision process.*

References

1. Flier SN, Rose S. Is functional dyspepsia of particular concern in women? A review of gender differences in epidemiology, pathophysiologic mechanisms, clinical presentation, and management. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006; 101: S644-S653.
2. Talley NJ, Colin-Jones D, Koch KL, Koch M, Nyren O, Stanghellini V. Functional dyspepsia: a classification with guidelines for diagnosis and management. *Gastroenterol Int* 1991; 4: 145-160.
3. Talley NJ, Zinsmeister AR, Schleck CD, Melton LJ 3rd. Dyspepsia and dyspepsia subgroups: a population-based study. *Gastroenterology* 1992; 102: 1259-1268.
4. Ho KY, Kang JY, Seow A. Prevalence of gastrointestinal symptoms in a multiracial Asian population, with particular reference to reflux-type symptoms. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1998; 93: 1816-1822.
5. Shah SS, Bhatia SJ, Mistry FP. Epidemiology of dyspepsia in the general population in Mumbai. *Indian J Gastroenterol* 2001; 20: 103-106.
6. Haque M, Wyeth JW, Stace NH, Talley NJ, Green R. Prevalence, severity and associated features of gastro-oesophageal reflux and dyspepsia: a population-based study. *N Z Med J* 2000; 113: 178-181.
7. Westbrock JI, McIntosh JH, Talley NJ. The impact of dyspepsia definition on prevalence estimates: considerations for future researchers. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2000; 35: 227-233.
8. The Newcastle Guideline Development and Research Unit. Dyspepsia: managing adults in primary care. London (UK): National Institute of Clinical Excellence; 2004.
9. Veldhuyzen van Zanten SJ, Flook N, Chiba N, Armstrong D, Barkun A, Bradette M, et al. An evidence-based approach to the management of uninvestigated dyspepsia in the era of Helicobacter pylori. Canadian Dyspepsia Working Group. *CMAJ* 2000; 162 (12 Suppl): S3-S23.
10. Talley NJ, Stanghellini V, Heading RC, Koch KL, Malagelada JR, Tytgat GN, et al. Functional gastroduodenal disorders. *Gut* 1999; Suppl 2: II37-II142.
11. Moayyedi P, Mason J. Clinical and economic consequences of dyspepsia in the community. *Gut* 2002; Suppl 4: iv10-iv12.
12. Feinle-Bisset C, Vozzo R, Horowitz M, Talley NJ. Diet, food intake, and disturbed physiology in the pathogenesis of symptoms in functional dyspepsia. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2004; 99: 170-181.
13. homson AB, Barkun AN, Armstrong D, Chiba N, White RJ, Daniels S, et al. The prevalence of clinically significant endoscopic findings in primary care patients with uninvestigated dyspepsia: the Canadian Adult Dyspepsia Empiric Treatment - Prompt Endoscopy (CADET-PE) study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2003; 17: 1481-1491.
14. Maconi G, Tosetti C, Stanghellini V, Bianchi Porro G, Corinaldesi R. Dyspeptic symptoms in primary care. An observational study in general practice. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2002; 14: 985-990.
15. Halder SL, Locke GR 3rd, Talley NJ, Fett SL, Zinsmeister AR, Melton LJ 3rd. Impact of functional gastrointestinal disorders on health-related quality of life: a population-based case-control study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2004; 19: 233-242.
16. Rezaeilashkajani M, Roshandel D, Shafae S, Zali MR. A cost analysis of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease and dyspepsia in Iran. *Dig Liver Dis* 2008; 40: 412-417.
17. Jones RH. Approaches to uninvestigated dyspepsia. *Gut* 2002; 50 (Suppl 4): Iv51-Iv55.
18. McQuaid KR. Dyspepsia. In: Feldman M, Friedman LS, Sleisenger MH, editors. Feldman: Sleisenger & Fordtran's Gastrointestinal and Liver Disease. 8th ed. Philadelphia (PA): Saunders; 2006. p. 102-118.

19. Chiba N, Van Zanten SJ, Sinclair P, Ferguson RA, Escobedo S, Grace E. Treating *Helicobacter pylori* infection in primary care patients with uninvestigated dyspepsia: the Canadian adult dyspepsia empiric treatment-*Helicobacter pylori* positive (CADET-Hp) randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2002; 324: 1012-1016.
20. Olmos JA, Pogorelsky V, Tobal F, Marcolongo M, Salis G, Higa R, et al. Uninvestigated dyspepsia in Latin America: a population-based study. *Dig Dis Sci* 2006; 51: 1922-1929.
21. Zarghi A, Pourhoseingholi MA, Habibi M, Nejad MR, Ramezankhani A, Zali MR. Prevalence of gastrointestinal symptoms in the population of Tehran, Iran. *Trop Med Int Health* 2007; 12 (Suppl); 181-182.
22. Zarghi A, Pourhoseingholi MA, Habibi M, Haghdoost A, Solhpour A, Moazezi M, et al. Prevalence of gastrointestinal symptoms and the influence of demographic factors. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2007; 102 (Suppl): 441-441.
23. Solhpour A, Pourhoseingholi MA, Soltani F, Zarghi A, Solhpour A, Habibi M, et al. Gastro-oesophageal reflux disease and irritable bowel syndrome: a significant association in an Iranian population. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2008; 20: 719-725.
24. Solhpour A, Pourhoseingholi MA, Soltani F, Zarghi A, Habibi M, Ghafarnejad F, et al. Gastro-esophageal reflux symptoms and body mass index: no relation among the Iranian population. *Indian J Gastroenterol* 2008; 27: 153-155.
25. Drossman DA. Rome III: the new criteria. *Chin J Dig Dis* 2006; 7: 181-185.
26. Rome Foundation. Guidelines--Rome III Diagnostic Criteria for Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders. *J Gastrointestin Liver Dis* 2006; 15: 307-312.
27. World Health Organization. Obesity. Report of a WHO Consultation on Obesity. Geneva: WHO; 1998.
28. Locke GR, Talley NJ, Weaver AL, Zinsmeister AR. A new questionnaire for gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1994; 69: 539-547.
29. Mahadeva S, Goh KL. Epidemiology of functional dyspepsia: a global perspective. *World J Gastroenterol* 2006; 12: 2661-2666.
30. Lu CL, Lang HC, Chang FY, Chen CY, Luo JC, Wang SS, et al. Prevalence and health/social impacts of functional dyspepsia in Taiwan: a study based on the Rome criteria questionnaire survey assisted by endoscopic exclusion among a physical check-up population. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2005; 40: 402-411.
31. Koloski NA, Talley NJ, Boyce PM. Epidemiology and health care seeking in the functional GI disorders: a population-based study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2002; 97: 2290-2299.
32. Li Y, Nie Y, Sha W, Su H. The link between psychosocial factors and functional dyspepsia: an epidemiological study. *Chin Med J (Engl)* 2002; 115: 1082-1084.
33. Curioso WH, Donaires Mendoza N, Bacilio Zerpa C, Ganoza Gallardo C, León Barúa R. [Prevalence and relation of dyspepsia to irritable bowel syndrome in a native community of the Peruvian jungle]. *Rev Gastroenterol Peru* 2002; 22: 129-140. (Spanish)
34. Hu WH, Wong WM, Lam CL, Lam KF, Hui WM, Lai KC, et al. Anxiety but not depression determines health care-seeking behaviour in Chinese patients with dyspepsia and irritable bowel syndrome: a population-based study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2002; 16: 2081-2088.
35. Van Oudenhove L, Vandenberghe J, Geeraerts B, Vos R, Persoons P, Fischler B, et al. Determinants of symptoms in functional dyspepsia: gastric sensorimotor function, psychosocial factors or somatisation? *Gut* 2008; 57: 1666-1673.
36. Shaib Y, El-Serag HB. The prevalence and risk factors of functional dyspepsia in a multiethnic population in the United States. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2004; 99: 2210-2216.
37. Delgado-Aros S, Locke GR 3rd, Camilleri M, Talley NJ, Fett S, Zinsmeister AR, et al. Obesity is associated with increased risk of gastrointestinal symptoms: a population-based study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2004; 99: 1801-1806.
38. van Oijen MG, Josemanders DF, Laheij RJ, van Rossum LG, Tan AC, Jansen JB. Gastrointestinal disorders and symptoms: does body mass index matter? *Neth J Med* 2006; 64: 45-49.

Related topics

Mansour-Ghanaei F, Abbasi R, Joukar F, Besharati S, Askari-Jirhandeh N. Anti CagA antibody among patients with non-cardia gastric cancer in comparison with non-ulcer dyspepsia in an area with high incidence of gastric cancer. *Saudi Med J* 2008; 29(11): 1606-1610.

Al-Humayed SM, Ahmed ME, Bello CS, Tayyar MA. Comparison of 4 laboratory methods for detection of *Helicobacter pylori*. *Saudi Med J* 2008; 29(4): 530-532.

Khalil MS, Wahass SH, Al-Qourain AA, Yassawy MI. Initial linguistic and psychometric validation of the Arabic version of Nepean Dyspepsia Index. *Saudi Med J* 2006; 27: 1554-1560.