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ABSTRACT

Omental pregnancy is a rare form of ectopic pregnancy, and can be seen primarily or secondary to a tubal pregnancy. A 25-year-old woman presented with abdominal distention with pain and anemia without vaginal bleeding. After a provisional diagnosis of ruptured ectopic pregnancy, laparotomy was performed. On surgical exploration, the bilateral tubes and ovaries were intact, however, an omental pregnancy was detected as the cause of hemoperitoneum. Partial omentectomy was performed. Although most cases are secondary, presented here is an additional case of primary omental pregnancy at 12 weeks according to Studdiford's criteria. Histological evidence of neovascularization into the supporting tissue confirmed our diagnosis. A primary omental pregnancy should always be considered as a possible explanation for severe hemoperitoneum in ectopic pregnancies presenting with acute abdomen, and with intact adnexes on surgical exploration.


Case Report. A 25-year-old gravida 2,para 1 woman presented with a 3-week history of abdominal distention together with steadily increasing abdominal and back pain, weakness, lack of appetite, and restlessness without vaginal bleeding. Her first baby had been born by spontaneous vaginal delivery, and she had not used any contraceptive method afterwards. She denied a history of pelvic inflammatory disease, sexually transmitted disease, surgical operations, or allergies. Her menses were irregular, and she did not know when her last menstrual period had been. Her blood pressure was 80/50 mmHg, and pulse was 110 beats/minute. An abdominal examination revealed a large amount of free peritoneal fluid without any intrauterine sac, or adnexial mass. With a preoperative diagnosis of acute abdomen due to ruptured ectopic pregnancy, laparotomy was performed, and it revealed approximately 3000 ml of hemoperitoneum. After aspiration of the blood, both fallopian tubes and the left ovary were found to be normal in appearance. The uterus was slightly enlarged, and soft. The right ovary was normal except for an intact 4 cm corpus luteum cyst. On exploration, the omentum was found to be adherent to the placenta of a fetus in the uterovesical fold, and active bleeding from
this site was observed. The appearance was consistent with omental pregnancy, and partial omentectomy was performed by the excision of 15x9 cm of omental tissue. A cyst was excised from the right ovary. The pelvis was irrigated with 2000 ml of saline, and exploration of the upper abdomen, the rest of the omentum, the appendix, and bowel surfaces did not show any further pathology. The operation ended with uterine curettage. The patient was transfused during, and after surgery with 6 units of whole blood.

The fetus was approximately 12 weeks old according to its development (Figure 1). Histological evidence of neovascularization into the supporting tissue confirmed our diagnosis of primary omental pregnancy (Figure 2). The right ovarian cyst excised was a hemorrhagic corpus luteum cyst. The uterine curettage yielded a decidual endometrial reaction. The patient was discharged on postoperative day 4 without any complication. The patient’s consent was obtained for publication of this case report.

Discussion. Although omental pregnancies are mostly secondary, presented here is an additional case of primary omental pregnancy at 12 weeks according to Studdiford's criteria. In this criteria, the diagnosis of primary omental pregnancy is based on the following anatomic conditions, 1) normal tubes and ovaries 2) absence of an utero placental fistula, and 3) attachment exclusively to a peritoneal surface early enough in gestation to eliminate the likelihood of secondary implantation. In our case, both fallopian tubes and the left ovary were normal. Although the right ovary contained a corpus luteum cyst, it was intact, and histology confirmed our diagnosis. Berghella et al proposed that the presence of a ruptured corpus luteum in a patient, suggests that the original site of implantation might have been the ovary since all ovarian pregnancies seem to implant adjacent to the corpus luteum. It is also possible that the villi become implanted on the omentum, leaving the site of origin of the pregnancy apparently normal. However, Berghella et al recommended that, in order to support a diagnosis of primary ectopic pregnancy, histological evidence of neovascularization, or growth of trophoblast into the supporting tissue must be shown. In our pathological sections, neovascularization together with extensive villus formation and trophoblastic proliferation into the omental tissues was observed (Figure 2). With regard to the second criterion, we did not observe any utero placental fistula in our case. Since abdominal pregnancy at less than 20 weeks of gestation is considered early, our case can be regarded as early, and so we eliminated the likelihood of secondary implantation.

The recent use of progesterone-only pills and intrauterine devices with a history of surgery, pelvic inflammatory disease, sexually transmitted disease, and allergy can be regarded as risk factors for ectopic pregnancy. Our patient had not been using any contraception, and did not give a history of the other risk factors. The clinical presentation of an abdominal pregnancy can differ compared to that of a tubal pregnancy. Although there may be great variability in symptoms, severe lower abdominal pain is one of the most consistent findings. As seen in our patient, there may be neither delay of menstruation nor spotting in these patients.

Transvaginal ultrasound is superior to transabdominal ultrasound in the evaluation of ectopic pregnancy since it allows a better view of the adnexa and uterine cavity. However, since our emergency department has no transvaginal sonography facilities, and is far from our obstetrics and gynecology department, which do have them, we directed this hemodynamically unstable patient immediately to the operation room, upon diagnosis of suspected ruptured ectopic pregnancy. That may be the reason why we could not detect the corpus luteum preoperatively. As a management protocol in our
department, we perform uterine curettage in all patients with ectopic pregnancy gently at the end of the operation, not only for the differential diagnosis of ectopic pregnancy, and to help in reducing present, or possible postoperative vaginal bleeding. However, it may be considered an inappropriate procedure in a hemodynamically unstable condition with the operative diagnosis of omental pregnancy, and no preoperative suspicion of intrauterine pregnancy, like in our patient, since it may cause complications. Although exploratory laparotomy is considered the gold standard in the management of both early and late abdominal pregnancies, some recent reports recommend laparoscopy in early cases when the patient is hemodynamically stable.\textsuperscript{5,12} In our case, since the patient was hemodynamically unstable, we chose laparotomy. However, in such a case with a virgin abdomen, and a background of a provisional diagnosis of ectopic pregnancy, laparoscopy could also have been considered. Some surgeons indicate that they could perform uncomplicated laparoscopic surgery in patients in shock due to ruptured tubal pregnancy with the advantages of a better operative view, ease of aspiration of hemoperitoneum, and patient recovery. If we had attempted a laparoscopic approach first, due to technical issues (night-time) we would have been unable to set up the laparoscopy.

Ten cases of primary omental pregnancies (including this report) reported in the English literature before January 2008 are reviewed in Table 1. The patients were between ages 16 and 35. Lower abdominal pain accompanied by signs of hemorrhagic shock comprises most of the symptomatology. While 5 of the 10 cases were managed by laparoscopy only, laparotomy was performed in 4 cases. In only one case, both laparoscopy, and laparotomy were attempted.

Table 1 – Reported cases of primary omental pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Parity</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Weeks of gestation</th>
<th>Surgery</th>
<th>Positive findings on exploration</th>
<th>Pathology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weeks et al,\textsuperscript{7} 1997</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Left iliac fossa pain</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Laparoscopy</td>
<td>A 2-cm hemorrhagic mass adherent to the omentum adjacent to the left ovary</td>
<td>A blood clot containing scanty chorionic villi and syncytiotrophoblasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chung et al,\textsuperscript{2} 2002</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Lower abdominal pain</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Laparoscopy</td>
<td>A mass with blood clots on the omentum, 1.5 cm gestational sac</td>
<td>Hemorrhagic change and villi on resected omental tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang et al,\textsuperscript{3} 2003</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Abdominal pain, sweat, syncope</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Laparoscopy</td>
<td>A bleeding site on the omentum</td>
<td>Trophoblastic invasion, hemorrhagic corpus luteum on the left ovary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozdemir et al,\textsuperscript{2003}</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Abdominal pain, nausea, and vomiting,</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Laparotomy</td>
<td>Gestational sac within the great omentum, placenta implanted on the omentum</td>
<td>Adipose tissue containing blood clots and villi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wong et al,\textsuperscript{4} 2004</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Colicky epigastric pain</td>
<td>No delay</td>
<td>Laparoscopy + Laparotomy</td>
<td>Bluish mass embedded in the omentum, blood clot; left ovarian cyst</td>
<td>Chorionic villi and placental site reaction between blood clot and omental fat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onan et al,\textsuperscript{5} 2005</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bilateral severe abdominal pain</td>
<td>No delay</td>
<td>Laparotomy</td>
<td>Palpable nodular lesion on the omentum</td>
<td>Extensive villus formation, dense trophoblastic invasion, vascular structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khalil et al,\textsuperscript{6} 2006</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lower abdominal pain</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Laparoscopy</td>
<td>Bleeding corpus luteum at right ovary, a swelling in omentum and blood clot</td>
<td>Omental tissue containing blood clot, chorionic villi and trophoblastic tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karaer et al,\textsuperscript{7} 2007</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dizziness and abdominal pain</td>
<td>No delay</td>
<td>Laparotomy</td>
<td>Bleeding mass on the gastrocolic ligament, a gestational sac with the embryo</td>
<td>Arias-Stella reaction on endometrial curettage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornemann et al,\textsuperscript{9} 2007</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Colicky abdominal pain</td>
<td>No delay</td>
<td>Laparoscopy</td>
<td>Blood clot on the omentum majus, corpus luteum in the right ovary</td>
<td>Hemorrhage and resorption, ß-hCG-positive multinucleated syncytiotrophoblasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This case</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Abdominal and back pain, distention, weakness, restlessness</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Laparotomy</td>
<td>Omentum was adherent to the placenta of a fetus in uterovesical fold, corpus luteum of right ovary</td>
<td>Extensive villus formation and trophoblastic proliferation into the omental tissues</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ß-hCG - beta-human chorionic gonadotropin
used, as needed. On surgical exploration, a hemorrhagic mass adherent to the omentum, and a blood clot was the most consistent finding. Some of the cases also included a corpus luteum in one of the ovaries. Histopathological examinations of surgical specimens usually showed trophoblastic invasion, and villus formation on omental tissue.

In conclusion, a primary omental pregnancy should always be considered as a possible explanation of severe hemoperitoneum in ectopic pregnancies presenting with acute abdomen, and with intact adnexa on surgical exploration.
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